



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 8

RUSSELL H. WALKER  
WALKER MCKENZIE & WALKER, P.C.  
SUITE 434  
6363 POPLAR AVENUE  
MEMPHIS, TN 38119-4896

COPY MAILED

OCT 04 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of  
Barry J. Fiala et al  
Application No. 10/041,934  
Filed: January 8, 2002  
Attorney Docket No. A0,031

ON PETITION

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed August 26, 2002.

The petition is dismissed.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. Applicant lacks items (1) set forth above.

As to item (1), rule 47 applicant must demonstrate with documented evidence that an inventor refuses to join in the application after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims, drawings and oath or declaration). There is no indication in the present case whether joint inventor Mark B. Hodes was ever presented with a copy of the complete application papers for the instant nonprovisional application. The fact that an application may contain proprietary information does not relieve the 37 CFR 1.47 applicant of the responsibility to present the application papers to the inventor if the inventor is willing to receive the papers. If

joint inventor Hodes was not presented with a copy of the application papers for the instant nonprovisional application, then Mr. Hodes could not attest that he has "reviewed and understands the application papers" and could not execute the declaration he was requested to sign. Did inventor Hodes receive a copy of the application papers? Unless petitioner can show that a copy of the application papers was presented to inventor Hodes, then petitioner will have to mail a copy of the complete application papers (specification, claims and drawings) to inventor Hodes' last known address, return receipt requested. A cover letter of instructions should accompany the mailing of the application papers setting a deadline or a statement that no response will constitute a refusal. This sort of ultimatum lends support to a finding of refusal by conduct. **The proof of the pertinent events should be made by a statement of someone with firsthand knowledge of the events and should include documentary evidence, such as certified mail return receipt, cover letter of instructions, telegram, etc. See MPEP 409.03(d).**

The statements of facts must be signed, where at all possible, by a person having firsthand knowledge of the facts recited therein. If the inventor orally refuses to join in the application, that fact along with the time and place of the refusal must be stated in the affidavit or declaration. If, on the other hand, petitioner receives an express written refusal, a copy of the document evidencing that refusal must be made part of the affidavit or declaration.

When it is concluded by the rule 47 petitioner that an omitted inventor's conduct constitutes a refusal, all facts upon which that conclusion is based should be stated in an affidavit or declaration. If there is documentary evidence to support facts alleged in the affidavit or declaration, such evidence must be submitted.

Whenever an omitted inventor gives a reason for refusing to sign the application oath or declaration, that reason should be stated in the affidavit or declaration.

**In order to expedite consideration of the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), petitioner may wish to submit the renewed petition by facsimile transmission to the telephone number indicated below and to the attention of Irvin Dingle.**

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:                   U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
                              P.O. Box 2327  
                              Mail Stop DAC  
                              Arlington, VA 22202

Or

Commissioner for Patents  
Box DAC  
Washington, D.C. 20231

By FAX: (703) 308-6916  
Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Crystal Plaza Four, Suite 3C23  
2201 S. Clark Place  
Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (703) 306-5684.



Frances Hicks  
Lead Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy